IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JONESBORO DIVISION

TROY WELLS PLAINTIFF

V. CASE NO. 3:12CV164 JTK

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner, Social Security Administration DEFENDANT

ORDER

Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (Commissioner) denying his application for social security disability (SSD). (Doc. #2, Complaint) The Defendant filed an answer to Plaintiff's action on September 21, 2012, asserting that Plaintiff had not shown that reversal was warranted. (Doc. #10, Answer)

Pending now before the Court is Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Reverse and Remand, pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g). (Doc # 17) Specifically, the Commissioner states that remand is proper so that it may conduct further proceedings in order to evaluate the severity of Plaintiff's alleged impairments in accordance with the procedure set forth by relevant case law, regulations, and rulings.

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint or where the court orders the

Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented

before the agency. The fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have the

power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming,

modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without

remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. 405(g); Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292,

296 (1993).

Here, the court finds remand appropriate for the purpose of allowing the ALJ to

further evaluate the evidence as addressed above. Therefore, the Commissioner's motion is

granted, and the case is reversed and remanded to the Commissioner for further

administrative action pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g). This dismissal is without

prejudice to Plaintiff's subsequent filing for attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice

Act.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of December, 2012.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-2-